Review Article

Metformin versus sodium glucose co-transporters inhibitors as first-line for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis

Amirah M. Alatawi

Summary

There is growing evidence of prescribing sodium glucose co-transporters-2 inhibitor (SGLT-2) to patients with/at high risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease as first-line (instead of metformin). This is the first meta-analysis to compare SGLT-2 inhibitors regarding the same. We aimed to compare SGLT-2 inhibitors and metformin regarding heart failure, acute coronary syndrome, and ischemic stroke. We systematically searched PubMed and Cochrane Library for relevant articles from the first article up to August 2022. The following keywords were used: Metformin, Salt glucose co-transporters inhibitors, SGLT-2 inhibitors, empagliflozin, dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, and first-line. The retrieved data were exported to an excel sheet detailing the author's names, the country of origin of the study, the number of patients and control subjects, the study duration, and the total number of events in the interventional and exercise groups.

Out of 108 articles screened, only three studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria, a databased study, and two cohorts with 10309 events and 86487 patients. The present meta-analysis showed that SGLT-2 inhibitors had lower rates of heart failure (odd ratio, 1.51, 95% *CI*, 1.10-2.08) and myocardial infarction (odd ratio, 1.45, 95% *CI*, 1.08-1.96) than metformin with a similar rate of stroke (odd ratio, 1.03, 95% *CI*, 0.66-1.61). Significant heterogeneity was observed. Sodium-glucose co-transporter inhibitors-2 as first-line therapy showed a lower heart failure and myocardial infarction compared to metformin. No significant difference was found between the two drugs regarding ischemic stroke. Further larger studies comparing the adverse event are needed.

KEYWORDS: Metformin, SGLT-2 inhibitors, First line, Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.40.1.6982

How to cite this: Alatawi AM. Metformin versus sodium glucose co-transporters inhibitors as first-line for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. Pak J Med Sci. 2024;40(1):209-213. doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.40.1.6982

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Metformin (a biguanide of herbal origin) is the most commonly prescribed drug for Type-2 diabetes mellitus. Since its first use in 1950, the drug was withdrawn due to lactic acidosis concerns after the

1.	Amirah M. Alatawi, Assistant Professor of Family Medicine, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.								
	Correspondence:								
	Amirah M. Alatawi, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tabuk, PO Box 3378 Tabuk 51941, Saudi Arabia. Email: am.alatawi@ut.edu.sa								
* * *	Received for Publication: Revision Received: Accepted for Publication:	August 11, 2022 September 19, 2022 September 25, 2023							

withdrawal of two other biguanides in the United States of America. The drug was reintroduced in the year 1995 when proved safe.1 Metformin is the firstline oral hypoglycemic drug for the treatment of diabetes. However, it is also found to reduce certain cancers including colonic and breast cancer.^{2,3} Other uses of metformin are mortality reduction among obese patients admitted with COVID-19, polycystic ovary syndrome, and gestational diabetes⁴ In addition, metformin users showed a better cognitive function compared to non-users.⁵ Although metformin has been used as first-line therapy due to its benefits and higher safety profile, recent evidence suggested the use of novel drugs with cardio-renal protection including Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide agonists (GLP-1)6 SGLT-2 inhibitors were shown to reduce all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. myocardial infarction, body weight, and severe hyperglycemia with a lower risk of hypoglycemia.7 Early initiation of SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 agonists is recommended by unseating metformin and pushing it to the sidetrack.8

Amirah M. Alatawi

The American Diabetes Association recommended metformin as first-line and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes recommended SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists as first-line among patients with cardiovascular and renal disease.^{9,10} To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to compare metformin and SGLT-2 as first-line in the treatment of patients with Type-2 diabetes and higher/established cardiovascular risk. We aimed to assess metformin and SGLT-2*i*, as first-line therapy in Type-2 diabetes with established or higher cardiovascular risk.

METHODS

Articles selection according to PICOS: We searched PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar from the first published article up to August 2022; we included, randomized controlled trials, prospective cohorts, retrospective studies, and case-control studies comparing metformin and SGLT-2i effects on heart failure, coronary artery disease, and stroke. Case series, case reports, and studies on animals were not included.

Literature search and data extraction: We systematically searched the literature for relevant articles. Out of 108 articles retrieved, nine full texts were screened, and three studies were included in the meta-analysis (one database analysis and two prospective cohorts). The following keywords were used: Metformin, Salt glucose co-transporters inhibitors, SGLT-2 inhibitors, empagliflozin, dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, and firstline. The retrieved data were exported to an excel sheet detailing the author's names, the country of origin of the study, the number of patients and control subjects, the study duration, and the total number of events in



Fig.1. A comparison between metformin and SGLT-2 inhibitors regarding atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Table-I: A comparison between metformin and salt-glucose cotransporters inhibitors-2 and first-line oral hypoglycemic medications.

Author	Country	Patients and duration	Metformin	SGLT-2 i	Results	
Chen et al. 2020 ¹²	Taiwan	Database,12 months	8023/39920	278/1100	0 Higher among SGLT-2	
Fralick et al. 2021 ¹³	USA	The observational study,147-213 days	996/9964	757/9964	Comparable efficacy	
Shin et al. 2022 ¹⁴	USA	Prospective cohort, 7 years	172/17 226	83/8613	Comparable efficacy	
Heart failure						
Chen et al. 202012	Taiwan	12 months	5029/39920	69/1100	Higher among SGLT-2	
Fralick et al. 2021 ¹³	USA	147-213 days	996/9964	807/9964	Comparable efficacy	
Shin et al. 2022 14	USA	7 years	172/17 226	69/8613	Comparable efficacy	
Myocardial infarction						
Chen et al. 202012	Taiwan	12 months	2634/39920	38/1100	Higher among SGLT-2	
Fralick et al. 2021 ¹³	USA	147-213 days	996/9964	879/9964	Comparable efficacy	
Shin et al. 2022 ¹⁴	USA	7 years	172/17 226	69/8613	Comparable efficacy	

Amirah M. Alatawi

	Experimental		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M -H, Rand	om, 95% Cl	
Chen et al. 2020	8023	39920	278	1100	34.0%	0.74 [0.65, 0.85]	-		
Fralick et al. 2021	996	9664	757	9964	34.6%	1.40 [1.27, 1.54]		•	
Shin et al. 2022	172	17226	83	8613	31.4%	1.04 [0.80, 1.35]	-	-	
Total (95% CI)		66810		19677	100.0%	1.03 [0.66, 1.61]			
Total events	9191		1118						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15; Chi ² = 53.35, df = 2 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 96%						16%			100
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.11 ((P = 0.91)				Favours (experimental)	Favours (control)	100

Fig.2: A comparison between metformin and salt-glucose cotransporters inhibitors-2 as first-line oral hypoglycemic medications (ischemic stroke).

the interventional and exercise groups. The quality of the included studies was assessed using the New Castle Ottawa Scale.¹¹

Data analysis: We use the RevMan (version 5, 4) for data analysis, the data were dichotomous and entered manually to compare the effect of metformin and SGLT-2 inhibitors on heart failure, coronary artery disease, and stroke. The random effect was applied due to the significant heterogeneity. A P-value of 0.05 is significant.

RESULTS

In the present meta-analysis, we pooled three studies¹²⁻¹⁴ comparing metformin and salt-glucose cotransporters-2 inhibitors regarding heart failure, acute coronary syndrome, and ischemic stroke (a databased study, and two cohorts with 10309 events and 86487 patients). Two of the studies were published in the USA and one from Asia. No significant statistical difference regarding stroke (odd ratio, 1.03, 95% *CI*, 0.66-1.61, and P-value for overall effect, 0.91). Substantial heterogeneity was observed, *I*², 96, P-value<0.001, and Chi-square, 53.35, Fig.2.

Heart failure was lower among patients on SGLT-2 inhibitors compared to metformin (P-value, 0.01, chai-square, 14.59, and l^2 for heterogeneity, 86%, P-value for heterogeneity <0.001) and the acute coronary syndrome was lower among patients initiated SGLT-2 inhibitors as the first line (P-value, 0.01, chai-square, 9.59, and l^2 for heterogeneity, 79%, P-value for heterogeneity <0.001), Fig.3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

The major goal of diabetes treatment is to reduce macrovascular complications, microvascular complications, and death.¹⁵ Although both metformin

	Experin	nental	Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup Events Total		Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Chen et al. 2020	5029	39920	69	1100	31.9%	2.15 [1.68, 2.75]	+
Fralick et al. 2021	996	9664	807	9964	38.0%	1.30 [1.18, 1.44]	
Shin et al. 2022	172	17226	69	8613	30.1%	1.25 [0.94, 1.65]	
Total (95% CI)		66810		19677	100.0%	1.51 [1.10, 2.08]	◆
Total events	6197		945				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	: 0.07; Chi Z = 2.54 (i² = 14.59 (P = 0.01	9, df= 2 (f)	P = 0.00	07); I² = 8	6%	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig.3: A comparison between metformin and salt-glucose cotransporters inhibitors-2 as first-line oral hypoglycemic medications (heart failure).

Experimental		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup Events Tota		Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Chen et al. 2020	2634	39920	38	1100	28.4%	1.97 [1.43, 2.74]	-
Fralick et al. 2021	996	9664	879	9964	41.3%	1.19 [1.08, 1.31]	
Shin et al. 2022	172	17226	60	8613	30.3%	1.44 [1.07, 1.93]	-=-
Total (95% CI)		66810		19677	100.0%	1.45 [1.08, 1.96]	◆
Total events	3802		977				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.05; Chi	² = 9.59,	df = 2 (P	= 0.008)); I² = 79%	b	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
rest for overall effect.	∠ = 2.40 (P = 0.01)				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig.4: A comparison between metformin and salt-glucose cotransporters inhibitors-2 as first-line oral hypoglycemic medications (acute coronary syndrome).

and sodium-glucose co-transporter two showed cardiovascular mortality reduction.¹⁶ However, no face-to-face meta-analysis was conducted.17 The present meta-analysis showed that SGLT-2 inhibitors had lower rates of heart failure (odd ratio, 1.51, 95% CI, 1.10-2.08) and myocardial infarction (odd ratio, 1.45, 95% CI, 1.08-1.96) than metformin with a similar rate of stroke (odd ratio, 1.03, 95% CI, 0.66-1.61). A recent study conducted in primary care found that 44% of patients with Type-2 diabetes had the coronary syndrome, heart failure, and kidney disease.¹⁸ Another interesting study showed that 27.7% of patients with Type-2 diabetes had undiagnosed heart failure.¹⁹ The current results imply that nearly half of patients with Type-2 diabetes qualify for treatments with SGLT-2 inhibitors. A recent Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials showed that SGLT-2 inhibitors reduce heart failure hospitalization in people with diabetes by 32%.20 Importantly, SGLT-2 inhibitors were found to reduce incident atrial arrhythmias and sudden death.²¹ It is interesting to note that, no differences between empagliflozin, dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, and ertugliflozin in the reduction of heart failure hospitalization.²² Type-2 diabetes is a major independent risk for myocardial infarction and 20-30% of patients with myocardial infarction are suffering from Type-2 diabetes.²³ The present results showed a lower incidence of myocardial infarction among SGLT-2 inhibitors compared to their counterparts taking metformin which can be a reasonable first-line therapy for patients with diabetes and myocardial infarction. The cardioprotective effects of SGLT-2 inhibitors may be due to lowering the blood pressure and weight, decreasing myocyte metabolism, and thus improving oxygenation.24 The association between SGLT-2 inhibitors and ischemic stroke is a matter of controversy. Some trials showed no association²⁵, while others showed a nonsignificant increase.^{26,27} The current meta-analysis showed no significant difference between SGLT-2 inhibitors and metformin regarding ischemic stroke. The mechanism of increasing ischemic stroke might be due to hemoconcentration and hypovolemia.²⁸ SGLT-2 inhibitors might be an appropriate choice for a patient with heart failure and myocardial infarction

Sodium-glucose co-transporter inhibitors-2 and fixed-dose combination: Combining metformin different hypoglycemic medications with complementary mechanisms of action is the state of the art in Type-2 diabetes care. The combination of Ertugliflozin and metformin is an effective therapy for better glycemic control without increasing weight and lowering hypoglycemia risk.²⁹ In addition, the fixeddose combination improves adherence to medications. Fixed-Dose Combination of Canagliflozin and Metformin was effective in drug-naive patients and showed a reduced weight and blood pressure up to 26 weeks.³⁰ A fixed-dose combination with empagliflozin was shown to be effective with minimal side effects.³¹ A fixed-dose combination of SGLT-2 inhibitors and metformin is cost-effective reducing medication burden and improving drug persistence.³²

Limitations: The small number of included studies and the significant heterogeneity observed limited this study.

CONCLUSION

Sodium-glucose co-transporter inhibitors-2 as firstline therapy showed a lower heart failure and myocardial infarction compared to metformin. No significant difference was found between the two drugs regarding ischemic stroke. Further larger studies comparing the adverse event are needed.

Confects of interest: None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Flory J, Lipska K. Metformin in 2019. JAMA. 2019;321(19):1926-1927. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.3805
- Mallik R, Chowdhury TA. Metformin in cancer. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2018;143:409-419. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2018.05.023
- Yan X, Gao Z, Li Y, Li Q, Deng X. Effect of Metformin Therapy on Biological Properties and Prognosis of Breast Cancer Patients Complicated with Type-2 Diabetes. Pak J Med Sci. 2022;38(5)1193-1198. doi: 10.12669/pjms.38.5.5135
- Cwynar-Zając L. Metformin A new approach. Pediatr Endocrinol Diabetes Metab. 2021;27(2):134-140. doi: 10.5114/ pedm.2021.107166
- Kodali M, Attaluri S, Madhu LN, Shuai B, Upadhya R, Gonzalez JJ, et al. Metformin treatment in late middle age improves cognitive function with alleviation of microglial activation and enhancement of autophagy in the hippocampus. Aging Cell. 2021;20(2):e13277. doi: 10.1111/acel.13277
- Grammatiki M, Sagar R, Ajjan RA. Metformin: Is it Still the First Line in type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm? Curr Pharm Des. 2021;27(8):1061-1067. doi: 10.2174/1381612826666201222154616
- Palmer SC, Tendal B, Mustafa RA, Vandvik PO, Li S, Hao Q, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter protein-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMJ. 2021;372:m4573. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.m4573. Erratum in: BMJ. 2022;376:o109.
- Tomasoni D, Fonarow GC, Adamo M, Anker SD, Butler J, Coats AJS, et al. Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors as an early, first-line therapy in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022;24(3):431-441. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2397.
- American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: standards of medical care in diabetes – 2020. Diabetes Care 2020; 43(Suppl. 1): S98–S110.
- Baker C, Retzik-Stahr C, Singh V, Plomondon R, Anderson V, Rasouli N. Should metformin remain the first-line therapy for treatment of type 2 diabetes? Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2021;12. doi: 10.1177/2042018820980225
- Shin H, Schneeweiss S, Glynn RJ, Patorno E. Evolving channeling in prescribing SGLT-2 inhibitors as first-line treatment for type 2 diabetes. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2022;31(5):566-576. doi: 10.1002/pds.5406
- Chen TH, Li YR, Chen SW, Lin YS, Sun CC, Chen DY, et al. Sodiumglucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor versus metformin as first-line therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a multi-institution database study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2020;19(1):189. doi: 10.1186/ s12933-020-01169-3
- Fralick M, Schneeweiss S, Redelmeier DA, Razak F, Gomes T, Patorno E. Comparative effectiveness and safety of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors versus metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: An observational study using data from routine care. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2021;23(10):2320-2328. doi: 10.1111/ dom.14474.

- Shin H, Schneeweiss S, Glynn RJ, Patorno E. Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients Initiating First-Line Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes With Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors Versus Metformin : A Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med. 2022;175(7):927-937. doi: 10.7326/M21-4012
- Chen L, Jiang L. Clinico-pathological features and related risk factors of Type-2 diabetes mellitus complicated with nonalcoholic fatty liver. Pak J Med Sci. 2022;38(7):1771-1775. doi: 10.12669/ pjms.38.7.6289
- Kalra S, Bhattacharya S, Kapoor N. Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists (GLP1RA) and Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i): Making a pragmatic choice in diabetes management. J Pak Med Assoc. 2022;72(5):989-990. doi: 10.47391/ JPMA.22-64
- Maruthur NM, Tseng E, Hutfless S, Wilson LM, Suarez-Cuervo C, Berger Z, et al. Diabetes Medications as Monotherapy or Metformin-Based Combination Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164(11):740-751. doi: 10.7326/M15-2650
- Goderis G, Vaes B, Mamouris P, van Craeyveld E, Mathieu C. Prevalence of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease, Heart Failure, and Chronic Kidney Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Primary Care Research Network-based Study. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2022;130(7):447-453. doi: 10.1055/a-1508-3912
- Boonman-de Winter LJ, Rutten FH, Cramer MJ, Landman MJ, Liem AH, Rutten GE, et al. High prevalence of previously unknown heart failure and left ventricular dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2012;55(8):2154-2162. doi: 10.1007/s00125-012-2579-0
- Lu Y, Li F, Fan Y, Yang Y, Chen M, Xi J. Effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors on cardiovascular outcomes in heart failure patients: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Intern Med. 2021;87:20-28. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2021.03.020
- Fernandes GC, Fernandes A, Cardoso R, Penalver J, Knijnik L, Mitrani RD, et al. Association of SGLT2 inhibitors with arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death in patients with type 2 diabetes or heart failure: A meta-analysis of 34 randomized controlled trials. Heart Rhythm. 2021;18(7):1098-1105. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2021.03.028
- Teo YH, Yoong CSY, Syn NL, Teo YN, Cheong JYA, Lim YC, et al. Comparing the clinical outcomes across different sodium/ glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in heart failure patients: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2021;77(10):1453-1464. doi: 10.1007/s00228-021-03147-4

- Lopez-de-Andres A, Jimenez-Garcia R, Hernández-Barrera V, de Miguel-Yanes JM, Albaladejo-Vicente R, Villanueva-Orbaiz R, et al. Are there sex differences in the effect of type 2 diabetes in the incidence and outcomes of myocardial infarction? A matched-pair analysis using hospital discharge data. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021;20(1):81. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01273-y
- Lytvyn Y, Bjornstad P, Udell JA, Lovshin JA, Cherney DZI. Sodium Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibition in Heart Failure: Potential Mechanisms, Clinical Applications, and Summary of Clinical Trials. Circulation. 2017;136(17):1643-1658. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.030012
- Zhou Z, Jardine MJ, Li Q, Neuen BL, Cannon CP, de Zeeuw D, Edwards R, et al. CREDENCE Trial Investigators*. Effect of SGLT2 Inhibitors on Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation in Diabetic Kidney Disease: Results From the CREDENCE Trial and Meta-Analysis. Stroke. 2021;52(5):1545-1556. doi: 10.1161/ STROKEAHA.120.031623
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(22):2117–2128
- Imprialos KP, Boutari C, Stavropoulos K, Doumas M, Karagiannis AI. Stroke paradox with SGLT-2 inhibitors: a play of chance or a viscosity-mediated reality? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2017;88(3):249-253. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2016-314704
- Tsai WH, Chuang SM, Liu SC, Lee CC, Chien MN, Leung CH, et alk. Effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on stroke and its subtypes in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):15364. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-94945-4
- Frias JP. Fixed-dose combination of ertugliflozin and metformin hydrochloride for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab. 2019;14(2):75-83. doi: 10.1080/17446651.2019.1571908
- Davidson JA, Sloan L. Fixed-Dose Combination of Canagliflozin and Metformin for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes: An Overview. Adv Ther. 2017;34(1):41-59. doi: 10.1007/s12325-016-0434-2
- Hadjadj S, Rosenstock J, Meinicke T, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC. Initial Combination of Empagliflozin and Metformin in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(10):1718-1728. doi: 10.2337/dc16-0522
- Chin KL, Ofori-Asenso R, Si S, Hird TR, Magliano DJ, Zoungas S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of first-line versus delayed use of combination dapagliflozin and metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):3256. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40191-8