INTRODUCTION

Cryptorchidism and hydrocele are the most common pediatric urological diseases primarily caused by congenital developmental abnormalities. 1, 2

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the sedative and analgesic effects of dexmedetomidine combined with propofol intravenous anesthesia in laparoscopic day surgery in pediatric urology.

METHODS: Eighty male children with cryptorchidism and hydrocele who underwent laparoscopic daytime surgery in our hospital from January 2019 to January 2021 were selected and randomly divided into two groups: the experimental group and the control group. Children in the experimental group ranged in age from 5.7 to 11.3, with an average of 8.52±2.17 years old, while those in the control group ranged in age from 5.3 to 12.0, with an average of 8.60±2.07 years old. There were 12 cases of cryptorchidism and 28 cases of hydrocele in the experimental group, and 14 cases of cryptorchidism and 26 cases of hydrocele in the control group. Children in the control group received conventional propofol intravenous anesthesia, while those in the experimental group were given dexmedetomidine (2-5 ug/kg) intranasally on the basis of conventional propofol intravenous anesthesia. The anesthetic effect, analgesic effect, serum levels of inflammatory cytokines before and after surgery and adverse drug reactions in the two groups were compared and analyzed.

RESULTS: The awakening time, extubation time and retention time in the resuscitation room of the experimental group were shorter than those of the control group, with a statistically significant difference (P<0.05); The VAS pain scores of the experimental group were significantly lower than those of the control group at 15 minutes, 12 hours and 24 hours after awakening, with a statistically significant difference (P<0.05). In addition, the levels of TNF-a, CRP, IL-6 and other inflammatory factors in the control group were significantly higher compared with those in the experimental group 24 hours after surgery, with a statistical significance (TNF-a, P=0.02; CRP, P=0.00; IL-6, P=0.03); The incidence of adverse drug reactions in the experimental group was 17.5%, while that in the control group was 12.5%, which was not statistically significant (P=0.53).

CONCLUSION: Dexmedetomidine combined with intravenous propofol anesthesia may be helpful to shorten the extubation time, the recovery time and the stay time in the anesthesia resuscitative room, improve the analgesic effect, and may reduce the inflammatory response and the expression of serum inflammatory cytokines, with no significant increase in side effects.
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tients with this disease suffer from changes in the normal developmental environment of the testis, and abnormalities in testicular microstructures may result if left untreated, ultimately affecting spermatogenesis. Surgery is currently the main treatment for cryptorchidism and hydrocele. In the wake of the rapid development of minimally invasive technology, laparoscopy has become the standard treatment for cryptorchidism and hydrothecysis in children, featuring advantages such as less trauma, fewer concurrent symptoms and quick postoperative recovery. Day surgery, as a new surgical scheme proposed in recent years, refers to some surgeries with low risk of hospitalization on the day of surgery and discharge on the second day after surgery. Such a surgical scheme has the advantages of convenience, speed and low cost, which is very suitable for the surgical treatment of cryptorchidism and hydrocele in children. It is, however, of great significance to choose appropriate anesthetic drugs and anesthesia methods, due to the children’s younger age, poor adaptability, insufficient psychological maturity, poor treatment compliance and cooperation, and short hospitalization observation time for day surgery. Propofol is an intravenous anesthetic with the advantages of rapid onset, less irritation of the respiratory tract and rapid recovery, which is widely applied in pediatric laparoscopic surgery. However, certain adverse effects, such as restlessness during the waking period and short duration of analgesic effect, exist at the same time. Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α2-adrenoceptor agonist, which is characterized by its obvious sedative, anti-anxiety, analgesic effects, sympathetic properties and minimal respiratory depression. It is an effective and safe drug, which is increasingly important in pediatric laparoscopic surgery. In this study, dexmedetomidine nasal drops combined with propofol intravenous anesthesia was applied in pediatric daytime laparoscopic surgery, obvious analgesic and sedative effects were achieved, and no adverse reactions were significantly increased.

METHODS

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Baoding Children’s Hospital on January 10, 2021 (No.H-BDETKJ-SOP006-03-A/0), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Inclusion criteria:
- Children diagnosed as cryptorchidism or hydrocele via physical examination and ultrasound and other related examinations;
- Children who need to be hospitalized for laparoscopic surgery;
- Children whose parents know and sign the consent form;
- Children who can cooperate and accurately describe their subjective symptoms and feelings;
- Children aged 5-12 years old.

Exclusion criteria:
- Children with severe organic or congenital diseases of heart, liver and kidney;
- Children with allergic constitution;
- Children who have recently taken drugs that affect the study, such as hormones and immunosuppressants;
- Children who have mental or neurological disorders and cannot cooperate with the study satisfactorily;
- Children with contraindications to laparoscopic surgery.

Eighty male children with cryptorchidism and hydrocele who underwent laparoscopic daytime surgery in our hospital from January 2019 to January 2021 were selected and randomly divided into two groups: the experimental group and the control group. Children in the experimental group ranged in age from 5.7 to 11.3, with an average of 8.52±2.17 years old, while those in the control group ranged in age from 5.3 to 12.0, with an average of 8.60±2.07 years old. There were 12 cases of cryptorchidism and 28 cases of hydrocele in the experimental group, and 14 cases of cryptorchidism and 26 cases of hydrocele in the control group. The baseline data for the two groups were balanced and comparable (P>0.05). (Table-I) (It is also necessary to maintain the operative time in both groups).

Treatment methods: Both groups of children underwent laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. Laparoscopic testicular exploration and cryptorchidopexy were performed in children with cryptorchidism, while laparoscopic high ligation of processus vaginalis was performed in children with hydrocele.

Table-I: Comparative analysis of general data between the experimental group and the control group (X±S) n=40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>t/χ2</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8.52±2.17</td>
<td>8.60±2.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>93.36±12.17</td>
<td>92.85±11.69</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>25.42±4.93</td>
<td>24.32±4.62</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cryptorchidism (%)</td>
<td>12 (30%)</td>
<td>14 (35%)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P>0.05.
with hydrocele. All patients were fasting 6h before surgery. Children in the experimental group received 0.5mL dexmedetomidine (1mg/kg) via bilateral nostrils 1h before surgery. Two groups of children were admitted to the operating room with open venous access, and then the vital signs were monitored. Tracheal intubation was performed after intravenous injection of 2mg/kg propofol, 1mg/kg midazolam, 0.2mg/kg cisatracurium and 0.2mg/kg dexamethasone. The children were inhaled oxygen of 2L/min. Propofol was injected with 5mg/(kg·h) by continuous micropump in the control group, while with 4mg/(kg·h) in the experimental group. The end-expiratory CO2 of the children was controlled to be 35-45mmHg. The oxygen flow was adjusted to 5L/min 5min before surgery, the propofol pumping was stopped after surgery, and the tracheal intubation was removed after spontaneous respiration resumed. Children were referred to the resuscitation room for observation, and returned to the general ward when the blood oxygen saturation was greater than 95% after consciousness and cessation of oxygen inhalation.

**Observation indicators:** (1) Indicators such as anesthesia recovery time, extubation time and anesthesia resuscitation room residence time were used to evaluate the anesthesia effect of the two groups of children. (2) Analgesic effect: The visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to evaluate the pain degree of the two groups 15min, 12h and 24h after surgery, and the difference in postoperative pain effect between the two groups was compared and analyzed. 0-10 points were given according to the degree of pain, the higher the score, the stronger the pain; (3) Serum inflammatory cytokines: 3mL venous blood of upper limbs was collected from all children before anesthesia and 24h after surgery. The levels of inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF-a) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) were detected by fluorescence immunomicrospheres adsorption flow test, and immunoturbidimetry was adopted to detect C-reactive protein (CRP); (4) Incidence of adverse drug reactions: The adverse drug reactions of the two groups, including respiratory depression, bradycardia, drowsiness and restlessness, were recorded respectively.

**Statistical Analysis:** All the data were statistically analyzed by SPSS 20.0 software, and the measurement data were expressed as (X±s). Two independent sample t-test was used for inter-group data analysis, repeated measurement analysis of variance was used for intra-group data analysis, the paired t-test was used for pairwise comparison, and χ² was adopted for rate comparison. P<0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference.

**RESULTS**

The comparative analysis of anesthesia effect between the experimental group and the control group is shown in Table-II, indicating that the awakening time, extubation time and retention time in the resuscitation room of the experimental group were shorter than those of the control group, with a statistically significant difference (P<0.05).

The VAS pain score at 24 hours after surgery was significantly lower than that at 15min after waking up, the difference was statistically significant (experimental group, P=0.02; control group, P=0.00). The scores of the experimental group were significantly lower than those of the control group at 15min after awakening, 12h and 24h after surgery, with a statistical significance (P<0.05) (Table-III).

**Table-II: Comparative analysis of anesthesia effect indicators between the experimental group and the control group (X±S) n=40.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Awakening time (min)*</th>
<th>Extubation time (min)*</th>
<th>Retention time in the resuscitation room (min)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental group</td>
<td>15.46±0.71</td>
<td>5.49±0.62</td>
<td>32.82±8.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>23.68±0.83</td>
<td>8.20±0.73</td>
<td>36.72±7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>47.60</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05.

**Table-III: Comparative analysis of analgesic effect between the experimental group and the control group (X±S) n=40.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>15min after awakening*</th>
<th>12h after surgery*</th>
<th>24h after surgery*</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental group</td>
<td>4.75±0.71</td>
<td>3.86±0.68</td>
<td>2.18±0.36</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>5.22±0.53</td>
<td>4.31±0.44</td>
<td>2.74±0.40</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05.
Prior to anesthesia, the levels of TNF-α, CRP, IL-6 and other inflammatory factors in the two groups were in the normal range, and there was no significant difference in the levels of inflammatory factors between the two groups (P>0.05). The levels of inflammatory factors in the control group were significantly higher than those in the experimental group 24h after surgery, with a statistically significant difference (TNF-α, P=0.02; CRP, P=0.00; IL-6, P=0.03) (Table-IV).

The comparative analysis of the incidence of adverse drug reactions after treatment between the two groups showed that the incidence of adverse drug reactions in the experimental group was 15%, while that in the control group was 12.5%. The incidence of adverse reactions in the experimental group was slightly higher than that in the control group, but the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.53). (Table-V)

**DISCUSSION**

Cryptorchidism and hydrocele are common pediatric urological diseases with high incidence. Certain hazards will be caused to the postadolescent testicular function of patients with cryptorchidism and hydrocele if left untreated. Minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery is currently the main treatment for this type of disease, which can prevent further damage to the reproductive system of children. Day surgery is currently frequently adopted by some medical centers for this type of disease due to the short minimally invasive surgery time and low risk. Day surgery, as a new surgical concept at present, refers to a surgery with low risk of hospitalization on the day of surgery and discharge on the second day after surgery, which is characterized by simplicity, rapidity and low cost. Consequently, reasonable selection of anesthesia methods and drugs, accurate control of dosage and depth of anesthesia are important factors to ensure the successful completion of surgery. Propofol, as a new intravenous anesthetic, boasts the advantages of no irritation, quick onset, easy to control the depth of anesthesia, and quick recovery. However, it also has some disadvantages, such as short duration,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Respiratory depression</th>
<th>Bradycardia</th>
<th>Drowsiness</th>
<th>Restlessness</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental group</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15% (6/40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5% (5/40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² 0.39
P 0.53

*p>0.05.*
high restlessness rate in the wake period, and weak pain protection for patients.

Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α2-receptor agonist, whose pharmacological mechanism of action is to activate the α2-receptor in the presynaptic and postsynaptic central locus coeruleus to exert its hypnotic effect, thereby inducing a state of loss of consciousness similar to that of natural sleep. It is unique in that the patient remains in a state of easy awakening and cooperation. Programmed sedation of dexmedetomidine can be used as an adjuvant of anesthetics to increase the effect of anesthetics and reduce the dose of anesthetics. Dexmedetomidine has a relatively long action time, which provides patients with greater comfort during and after surgery. In this study, propofol combined with dexmedetomidine was proved to have shorter awakening time, extubation time and retention time in the resuscitation room compared with conventional anesthesia scheme in pediatric laparoscopic surgery, with a statistically significant difference (P<0.05). In addition, the VAS pain score of the experimental group was significantly lower than those of the control group 15 min after awakening, 12 h and 24 h after surgery, with a statistical significance (P<0.05).

Dexmedetomidine is the only sedative that makes patients fall asleep and wake up easily. It has the incidence of bradycardia, hypotension and respiratory depression of less than 5%, and can be corrected by reducing the dosage, infusion and other measures. Moreover, no adverse reactions are significantly increased when dexmedetomidine is used in combination with anesthetics. It was considered in the study of Shi et al. That 0.5 μg/kg dexmedetomidine can reduce the incidence of emergence delirium (ED) after sevoflurane anesthesia, and can effectively prevent the occurrence of negative postoperative behavioral changes (NPOBC). It was also confirmed in our study that the incidence of adverse reactions after propofol combined with dexmedetomidine in the experimental group was 15%, while that in the control group was 12.5%. There was no increase in the incidence of adverse drug reactions compared with conventional anesthesia (P=0.53).

Dexmedetomidine, as an α 2 agonist, has a potential anti-inflammatory effect, which may not be mediated by central sedation, but by a different pathway. According to animal experiments, dexmedetomidine has the effect of reducing the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines in septic rats. It was considered in the study of Wang et al. That the application of dexmedetomidine during surgery reduced the secretion of cytokines during and after surgery, as well as the white blood cell count and CRP level after surgery. In a randomized clinical trial by Ohta et al., dexmedetomidine was confirmed to have a certain inhibitory effect on C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin levels in patients with sepsis. In the study by Han et al., dexmedetomidine was believed to reduce the level of postoperative inflammatory factors and improve the postoperative cognitive function and the recovery quality of anesthesia by decreasing the serum level of stress-related signaling molecules.

It was also considered in the study by Liu et al. that dexmedetomidine can play a unique role not only in regulating the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, but also in effectively alleviating the pain of laparoscopic patients. According to our study, the levels of TNF-a, CRP, IL-6 and other inflammatory factors in the two groups were in the normal range before anesthesia, and increased 24 h after surgery compared with those before surgery, but the increase in the control group was significantly greater than that in the experimental group, with a statistically significant difference (TNF-a, P=0.02; CRP, P=0.00; IL-6, P=0.03).

**Limitations of this study:** The sample number is small, and only the comparative analysis of indicators before and after anesthesia is involved in the study process, without strict long-term follow-up. In addition, other narcotic drugs commonly used in children have not yet been compared due to the small sample size. In view of this, proactive countermeasures are being taken to further expand the sample size, increase the follow-up content, and include other commonly used narcotic drugs in this study, so as to elaborate the effect of such a treatment regimen in more detail.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Dexmedetomidine combined with intravenous propofol anesthesia may be helpful to shorten the extubation time, the recovery time and the stay time in the anesthesia resuscitative room, improve the analgesic effect, and may reduce the inflammatory response and the expression of serum inflammatory cytokines, with no significant increase in side effects.
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